

590

E

(日) (문) (문) (문)



## **Advanced Macroeconomics**

Section 4 - Fluctuations (II): Keynesian and New-Keynesian theories

Daniele Girardi King's College London

AY 2024-25, Semester I



# 'Old school' Keynesian theory

- Developed in the 1940s to formalise Keynes' ideas
- Was dominant and guided policy until the 1970s
- Simple models built up from sensible assumptions about relations between macroeconomic variables, but no explicit microfoundations
- IS-LM model + Phillips Curve
- Aggregate demand determines the level of output, inflation-unemployment trade-off



# New Keynesian theory

- Micro-founded rational-expectations framework (like RBC)
- but introduces nominal rigidities (sticky prices/wages) and imperfect competition
- Baseline 3-equations DSGE model
  - 1. New Keynesian IS curve
  - 2. New Keynesian Phillips Curve
  - 3. Central Bank reaction function
- real effects of monetary policy (unlike RBC and somehow similar to old Keynesian models)
- also the effects of other shocks (technology and fiscal policy) differ from the plain RBC model.



# The plan

- 1. Old school IS-LM model and Lucas critique
- 2. New Keynesian IS-LM model
- 3. Phillips Curve(s)
- 4. IS-LM-PC: A simplified model in the spirit of New Keynesian macro
- 5. The canonical DSGE New Keynesian model



# The 'old-school' IS-LM model

- Model of output determination in the short-run
- John Hicks (1937) formalisation of (his interpretation of) Keynes.
  - Neoclassical synthesis
- Became the dominant model of output determination since the 1940s and is still the model taught in intermediate classes.
- Notation:
- o Y = output
- o Z = aggregate demand
- o C = consumption
- o I = aggregate investment
- o G = government spending

- o  $\tau$  = tax rate
- o *i* = nominal interest rate
- o r = real interest rate
- o M = quantity of money
- o P = price level



## Goods market equilibrium

► Definition:

• Aggregate demand  $Z_t \equiv C_t + I_t + G_t$ .

- Behavioural equations:
  - o Consumption function:  $C_t = c_0 + c_1(1 \tau_t)Y_t$
  - o Investment function:  $I_t = a_0 a_1 r_t$ .
  - o *G* and  $\tau$  taken as given:  $G_t = G$ ,  $\tau_t = \tau$ .

#### ► Equilibrium:

Equilibrium condition Y = Z implies equilibrium output is

$$Y_t = \frac{1}{1 - c_1(1 - \tau)} [c_0 + (a_0 - a_1 r) + G] = A - ar_t$$

Where 
$$A = \frac{c_0 + a_0 + G}{1 - c_1(1 - \tau)}$$
 and  $a = \frac{a_1}{1 - c_1(1 - \tau)}$ .



# The old school IS curve

goods' market equilibrium:

Y = A - ar (IS curve)



- A change in the interest rate is a movement along the IS curve
- A change in government spending or autonomous consumption shifts the IS curve up or down



#### Money market equilibrium

$$\frac{M_t}{P_t} = \alpha Y_t - \beta i_t \quad \Rightarrow \quad i_t = b Y_t - c \frac{M_t}{P_t} \qquad (LM \ curve)$$

(Where  $b = \alpha/\beta$  and  $c = 1/\beta$ )

- *M* and *P* exogenous constants ( $P_t = P, M_t = M$ ).
- ▶ Higher  $Y \rightarrow$  higher demand for  $M \rightarrow$  higher equilibrium *i*







Output (Income), Y

- Given fixed price assumption, *i* = *r*.
- Can be used to evaluate the effect of fiscal and monetary policy.
- Fiscal expansion (increase in G or decrease in τ) raises Y and i.
- Monetary expansion (increase in M) raises Y and lowers i.



# The Lucas (1976) critique

- Old-school Keynesian models lack microfoundations
- Relations between aggregates are assumed, without specifying how they arise from individual goal-oriented behavior.
- Policy evaluation might be flawed: policy change might change expectations & behaviour, altering aggregate relations.
- Example: In evaluating effect of fiscal expansion, old-Keynesian theory assumes a given propensity to save. But if stimulus is temporary, utility-maximizing agents might save most of it, so propensity to save is not stable.
- The equations of a macro model should be derived explicitly from a microeconomic model of individual behavior.



#### The New-Keynesian IS-LM model

One-good economy with no K, large number of identical firms, and fixed number of identical infinitely lived households.



#### The New-Keynesian IS-LM model

- One-good economy with no K, large number of identical firms, and fixed number of identical infinitely lived households.
- ▶ Production function: Y = C = F(L); F'(L) > 0;  $F''(L) \le 0$



#### The New-Keynesian IS-LM model

- One-good economy with no K, large number of identical firms, and fixed number of identical infinitely lived households.
- ▶ Production function: Y = C = F(L); F'(L) > 0;  $F''(L) \le 0$
- Representative household's lifetime utility:

$$U = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[ U(C_t) + \Gamma\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) - V(L_t) \right], \quad 0 < \beta < 1$$

- U'(.) > 0 and U''(.) < 0;
- $\Gamma'(.) > 0$  and  $\Gamma''(.) < 0;$
- V' > 0 and V''(.) > 0.
- Choice variables: C and M;
- L exogenous (for now);



#### Evolution of household's wealth

- Two assets: Central Bank money M (gold coins) and a bond B (a claim on M).
- Evolution of household's wealth:

$$A_{t+1} = M_t + B_t(1+i_t)$$
  
=  $M_t + (A_t + W_t L_t - P_t C_t - M_t)(1+i_t)$ 

- o  $A_{t+1}$  is wealth at the start of period t+1;
- o  $M_t$  and  $B_t$  are money and bonds held during period t;



# Household's behavior: Euler equation

Assuming CRRA utility, the infinite-horizon utility function implies

$$\ln C_t = \ln C_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\theta} \ln[(1+r_t)\beta]$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\ln Y_t = a + \ln Y_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\theta} r_t$$

(because Y = C and  $\ln(1+r) \approx r$ , and with  $a = -(\frac{1}{\theta}) \ln \beta$ )

See demonstration in Romer Section 6.1



#### The New-Keynesian IS curve

$$\ln Y_t = a + \ln Y_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\theta} r_t$$

- negative relation between  $Y_t$  and  $r_t$ .
- differences with old-school IS curve:
  - o conceptual: driven by intertemporal substitution, not income multiplier effect.
  - o practical:  $\ln Y_{t+1}$  term.
  - o here, IS interpretation requires assuming fixed  $Y_{t+1}$ .



#### John Cochrane on the New Keynesian IS curve:

This new-Keynesian model is an utterly and completely different mechanism and story [relative to the old-keynesian model]. (...)

The marginal propensity to consume is exactly and precisely zero in the new-Keynesian model. There is no income at all on the right hand side [of the Euler equation]. (...)



### John Cochrane on the NK IS curve (continued):

The old-Keynesian model is driven completely by an income effect with no substitution effect. Consumers don't think about today vs. the future at all. The new-Keynesian model is based on the intertemporal substitution effect with no income effect at all. (...)

[a lower  $r_t$ ] induces consumers to spend their money today rather than in the future (...). Now, lowering consumption growth is normally a bad thing. But new-Keynesian modelers assume that the economy reverts to trend, so lowering growth rates is good, and raises the level of consumption today with no ill effects tomorrow.

[from John Cochrane's 'New vs. Old Keynesian Stimulus' (on Keats)]



## Household's money demand

- Optimization requires that marginal increase in  $M_t/P_t$  (given total wealth) has no effect on utility.
- ▶ To leave wealth unchanged,  $\Delta C_t = -\left(\frac{i}{1+i}\right)\Delta m$
- So in equilibrium:

$$\Gamma'\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right)\Delta m = U'(C_t)\left(\frac{i_t}{1+i_t}\right)\Delta m$$
$$\Downarrow$$
$$\frac{M_t}{P_t} = Y_t^{\theta/\chi} \left(\frac{1+i_t}{i_t}\right)^{1/\chi}$$

- Real money demand is positive function of Y and negative function of i as in the old-Keynesian model.
- ▶ *P* and *M* are fixed, so implies *i* increasing function of *Y*.



# New-Keynesian IS-LM

Price of consumption good is assumed fixed:

$$P_t = \bar{P} \Rightarrow i_t = r$$

So both IS and money-demand are in terms of r and Y;

$$Y_t = f(r_t)$$
 with  $f' < 0$  (IS curve)

$$r_t = g(Y_t)$$
 with  $g' > 0$  (LM curve)



### **New-Keynesian IS-LM**



but remember this is based on the assumption of unchanged (expectation of)  $Y_{t+1}$ !

D.Girardi, AY 2024-25



### **New-Keynesian IS-LM**



Figure: Effect of a temporary increase in money supply



## A more realistic LM "curve"

In reality, money is endogenous and the Central Bank sets the interest rate.





IS-LM with interest-rate setting

- ► IS relation:  $Y_t = f(r_t)$  with f' < 0
- ▶ LM relation:  $r = i = \overline{i}$



- After adding a model of inflation (Phillips Curve), can be enriched by the Central Bank reaction function
- CB sets the interest rate based on inflation and output.



# Phillips Curve(s)

- IS-LM framework (old or new) needs to be completed with a theory of inflation.
- ▶ *Phillips Curve*: A relation between inflation & unemployment/output.
- 'Traditional' Phillips Curve:

$$\pi_t = \alpha - \beta u_t$$

'Accelerationist' Phillips Curve:

$$\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = \alpha - \beta u_t$$

New Keynesian Phillips Curve:

$$\pi_t = k y_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1}$$

Very different implications for policy.



### Historical origins of the Phillips Curve

- ▶ PC originally derived from empirical observation, not formal theory.
- 1958: A.W. Phillips uncovers negative correlation between inflation and unemployment in UK 1861-1957 data.
- ▶ 1960: Samuelson & Solow replicate it on 1900-1960 US data.
- In the 1970s the relation breaks down, which inspires the development of an 'accelerationist' Phillips Curve.





#### 1948-1969: the 'original' Phillips Curve



Source: Series UNRATE, CPIAUSCL Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED) http://research. stlouisfed.org/fred2/

#### 1970-2010: the disappearance of the 'original' PC





#### 1970-2010: Accelerationist PC





# Phillips Curve(s): Theoretical foundations

- Theoretical explanations of the PC focus on wage and price-setting processes.
- Models of wage and price-setting imply relations between  $\pi$ ,  $E(\pi)$  and u
- ▶ specific form of the PC depends on how agents form  $E(\pi)$ 
  - 1. fixed ('anchored') expectations -> original PC
  - 2. adaptive expectations -> accelerationist PC
  - 3. rational expectations -> New-Keynesian PC
- Traditional & accelerationist PC can be derived from a simple macro model, while New Keynesian PC can be derived from the (more complicated) Calvo model of pricing.



#### Phillips Curve: a simple framework

- Traditional and accelerationist PC can be derived from a very simple macro model.
- Central idea:

lower  $u_t \Rightarrow$  higher  $W_t \Rightarrow$  increase in  $P_t \& \pi_t$ .

if it stops here, we have the 'original' PC



#### Phillips Curve: a simple framework

- Traditional and accelerationist PC can be derived from a very simple macro model.
- Central idea:

lower  $u_t \Rightarrow$  higher  $W_t \Rightarrow$  increase in  $P_t \& \pi_t$ .

- if it stops here, we have the 'original' PC
- ► BUT with adaptive expectations, inflationary spiral: lower  $u_t \Rightarrow$  higher  $W_t \Rightarrow$  increase in  $P_t \& \pi_t \Rightarrow$  increase in  $E(\pi_{t+1}) \Rightarrow$  increase in  $W_{t+1} \Rightarrow ...$
- 'accelerationist' PC



Basic model:

$$Y_t = N_t$$

$$P_t = (1+m)W_t$$
$$\frac{W_t}{E(P_t)} = 1 - \beta u_t \quad \Rightarrow \quad W_t = E(P_t)(1 - \beta u_t)$$

- Y = output;
- N = employment;
- W = nominal wage;
- P = price of the good;
- m = mark-up;
- $u = 1 \frac{L}{N}$  = unemployment rate;



Combine price-setting & wage-setting:

$$P_t = E(P_t)(1+m)(1-\beta u_t)$$

• rewrite (approximately) in terms of  $\pi$ :

$$\pi_t = E(\pi_t) + m - \beta u_t$$

• What determines 
$$E(\pi_t)$$
?

'Generic' Phillips Curve:

$$\pi_t = E(\pi_t) + m - \beta u_t$$

$$E(\pi) = \bar{\pi}$$

Then we have

$$\pi_t = \alpha - \beta u_t$$
 (with  $\alpha = \bar{\pi} + m$ )

- 'original' (old-Keynesian) Phillips curve
- Inflation-unemployment trade-off for policy.



#### The PC and its mutations

'Generic' Phillips Curve:

$$\pi_t = E(\pi_t) + m - \beta u_t$$

Assume adaptive expectations

 $E(\pi) = \pi_{t-1}$ 

'Accelerationist' PC:

$$\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = \alpha - \beta u_t$$

Lower unemployment leads to higher change in the inflation rate (like in the 1970s).
# An interpretation of the history of inflation in the US

#### 1948-1969

- inflation not persistent;
- wage-setters assumed inflation would revert to mean π
  ;
- ►  $E(\pi) \approx \bar{\pi} \Rightarrow$  Original PC.

#### after 1970

- inflation became persistent (oil shocks);
- wage-setters started taking persistence into account;
- $E(\pi_t) \approx \pi_{t-1} \Rightarrow$  accelerationist PC.







# The equilibrium unemployment rate

In this model, a unique unemployment rate makes inflation equal expected inflation:

$$\pi_t = \mathbb{E}(\pi_t) \to u_t^\star = \frac{m}{\beta}$$

#### Implications for traditional PC:

- ▶ Possible to sustain  $u < u_t^*$  only as long as  $\pi > \mathbb{E}(\pi)$ .
- ► But if π > E(π) is persistent, wage-setters would surely update their expectations!
- ► Traditional PC with anchored expectations unlikely to be stable unless  $u = u^*$ .



### The equilibrium unemployment rate

In this model, a unique unemployment rate makes inflation equal expected inflation:

$$\pi_t = \mathbb{E}(\pi_t) \to u_t^\star = \frac{m}{\beta}$$

#### Implications for accelerationist PC:

- When  $u = u^*$ , inflation is stable over time  $(\pi_t = \pi_{t-1})$ .
- $u < u^*$  leads to accelerating inflation (increasing over time).
- $u > u^*$  leads to deflation (decreasing over time).
- ► Disinflation is painful: to bring down  $\pi$ , you need  $u > u^*$  for a period of time.



# Calvo price setting model

- New Keynesian PC is derived from a more complex model of dynamic price setting.
- Calvo (1983) "Staggered prices in a utility-maximizing framework".
- Sticky prices: they cannot be adjusted in all periods.
- Opportunities to change prices arrive randomly.
  - o *Poisson process*: same probability of price adjustment in every period.
- A bit arbitrary: chosen as the baseline model of prices not because realistic, but because it happens to deliver a convenient PC that works well in a DSGE model.



### Framework (1/3)

- A monopolistic competition model
- Production function

$$Y_t = L_t$$

Closed economy with no government and no capital:

 $C_t = Y_t$ 

Exogenous nominal expenditure (aggregate demand)

. . .

$$M_t = Y_t P_t$$

Labor supply curve

$$\frac{W_t}{P_t} = B Y_t^{\theta + \gamma - 1}$$

Monopolistic pricing

$$\frac{P_{it}^{\star}}{P_t} = \frac{\eta}{\eta - 1} \frac{W_t}{P_t}$$



### Framework (2/3)

### Time-dependent price-adjustment:

- Firms cannot adjust their prices in all periods.
- ▶  $P_i$  set at time 0 has probability  $q_t \ge 0$  of remaining in effect at time t > 0.
- ▶  $p_t \equiv ln(P_t)$ .
- Firm sets  $p_i$  as a weighted average of expected future  $p_t^*$ 's:

$$p_i = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_t E[p_t^{\star}]$$
 with  $\tilde{\omega}_t \equiv \frac{\beta^t q_t}{\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \beta^\tau q_{\tau}}$ 



### Framework (3/3)

Profit-maximizing price is a mark-up over the wage

$$\frac{P_{it}^{\star}}{P_t} = \frac{\eta}{\eta - 1} \frac{W_t}{P_t} \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_t^{\star} = \ln\left[\frac{\eta}{\eta - 1}\right] + w_t$$

Substitute in the (log of the) labor supply curve

$$w_t = p_t + \ln B + (\theta + \gamma - 1)y_t \Rightarrow p^* = p + \ln \frac{\eta}{\eta + 1} + \ln B + (\theta + \gamma - 1)y_t$$

• Given that m = y + p, and assuming for simplicity  $\ln \frac{\eta}{\eta - 1} + \ln B = 0$ ,

$$p_t^{\star} = \phi m_t + (1 - \phi) p_t$$
 with  $\phi = (\theta + \gamma - 1)$ 

optimal 'sticky' price to set at time 0:

$$p_i = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_t E_0[\phi m_t + (1-\phi)p_t]$$



# Deriving $\pi$

Each period share  $\alpha$  of firms, randomly chosen, adjusts prices

aggregate price level:  $p_t = \alpha x_t + (1 - \alpha)p_{t-1}$ 

*inflation:*  $\pi_t = p_t - p_{t-1} = \alpha(x_t - p_{t-1})$ 



# Deriving $\pi$

Each period share  $\alpha$  of firms, randomly chosen, adjusts prices

aggregate price level:  $p_t = \alpha x_t + (1 - \alpha)p_{t-1}$ 

*inflation:* 
$$\pi_t = p_t - p_{t-1} = \alpha(x_t - p_{t-1})$$

optimal 'sticky' prices:

$$x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_j E(p_{t+j}^{\star})$$
 with  $\tilde{\omega}_j = rac{eta^j q_j}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} eta^{\kappa} q_k}$ 

• Poisson process implies  $q_j = (1 - \alpha)^j$ 

$$\blacktriangleright \rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^{\kappa} q_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^k (1-\alpha)^k = \frac{1}{1-\beta(1-\alpha)}$$



### Calvo model - deriving $\pi$

...plugging in:

$$x_t = [1 - \beta(1 - \alpha)] \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j (1 - \alpha)^j E_t p_{t+j}^{\star}$$

• Rewrite in terms of  $p_t^*$  and  $E_t x_{t+1}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= [1 - \beta(1 - \alpha)] \left( p_t^* + \beta(1 - \alpha) \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j (1 - \alpha)^j E_t p_{t+1+j}^* \right] \right) = \\ &= [1 - \beta(1 - \alpha)] p_t^* + \beta(1 - \alpha) [1 - \beta(1 - \alpha)] \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j (1 - \alpha)^j E_t p_{t+1+j}^* \right] \\ &= [1 - \beta(1 - \alpha)] \mathbf{p}_t^* + \beta(1 - \alpha) \mathbf{E}_t \mathbf{x}_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$



### Deriving $\pi$

$$x_t = [1 - \beta(1 - \alpha)]\rho_t^{\star} + \beta(1 - \alpha)E_t x_{t+1}$$

• Express in terms of  $\pi_t$ , using  $\pi_t = \alpha(x_t - p_{t-1})$  and  $p^* = \phi m_t + (1 - \phi)p_t$ 

$$\pi_t = ky_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1}$$
 with  $k = \frac{\alpha [1 - (1 - \alpha)\beta]\phi}{1 - \alpha}$ 

- Inflation depends on expected inflation & output (as in all PCs);
- ► Difference: it is  $E_t \pi_{t+1}$  that matters here: expectation of *future* inflation.



# 3 Phillips Curves and their implications

- 1. Old-Keynesian PC:  $\pi_t = \alpha + \lambda y_t$
- output-inflation trade-off: disinflation requires permanently lower y;
- 2 Accelerationist PC:  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \lambda(y_t y_t^*)$
- ▶ painful disinflation: requires *y* < *y*<sup>\*</sup> for some time (*inflation inertia*);
- 3 New-Keynesian PC:  $\pi_t = ky_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1}$
- expansionary disinflation:  $E_t(\pi_{t+1})$  down  $\rightarrow y_t$  up.



# New Keynesian models of fluctuations

- IS curve & Phillips curve are the key building blocks of Keynesian & New Keynesian macroeconomics.
- They can be integrated to build dynamic models of fluctuations.
- We will consider two:
  - 1. A simplified New Keynesian model
  - 2. The canonical New Keynesian DSGE model



### A (very) simplified New-Keynesian model

- ► IS + PC + Central Bank reaction function
- Simpler than the canonical New Keynesian DSGE model and not microfounded
- But captures the New-Keynesian perspective on fluctuations well.
- Most mainstream policy discussions are implicitly based on this model
- Romer (2000), Carlin & Soskice (2005), Blanchard (2017).



### A 3-equations economy

IS Curve:

$$Y_t = A - ar_{t-1} \tag{1}$$

Accelerationist PC:

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \alpha(Y_t - Y^\star) \tag{2}$$

Central Bank reaction function:

$$r_t = r^\star + \psi(\pi_t - \pi^T) \tag{3}$$

y = output;  $\pi =$  inflation rate;  $Y^* =$  potential output; r = interest rate;  $r^* =$  equilibrium interest rate;  $\pi^T =$  target interest rate;



### **Old-Keynesian IS Curve**

Output:

$$Y_t = C_t + I_t + \bar{G}$$

Consumption:

$$C_t = c_0 + c_1(1-\bar{\tau})Y_t$$

Housing investment:

$$I_t = a_0 - a_1 r_{t-1}$$

Short-run equilibrium output:

$$Y_t = A - ar_{t-1}$$

where 
$$A = rac{c_0 + a_0 + \bar{G}}{1 - c_1(1 - \bar{\tau})}$$
 and  $a = rac{a_1}{1 - c_1(1 - \bar{\tau})}$ 



### Accelerationist Phillips Curve (1/2)

Wage setting

$$\frac{W_t}{P_t^e} = 1 - \beta u_t \quad \Rightarrow \quad W_t = P^e(1 - \beta u_t)$$

Price setting

$$Y_t = N_t \Rightarrow P_t = (1+m)W_t$$

Inflation rate

$$P_t = P_t^e(1+m)(1-\beta u_t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi_t = \pi_t^e + m - \beta u_t$$

Medium-run equilibrium unemployment rate

$$\pi = \pi^e \quad \Rightarrow u^* = \frac{m}{\beta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi - \pi^e = -\beta(u_t - u^*)$$



### Accelerationist Phillips Curve (2/2)

Phillips curve

$$\pi - \pi^e = -\beta(u_t - u^\star)$$

Assuming adaptive expectations

$$\pi^e = \pi_{t-1} \quad \Rightarrow \pi_t = \pi_{t-1} - \beta(u_t - u^*)$$

Rewrite in terms of output

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \alpha(Y_t - Y^\star)$$

Define equilibrium ('natural') interest rate:

$$Y^{\star} = A - ar^{\star} \quad \Rightarrow \quad Y_t - Y^{\star} = -a(r_{t-1} - r^{\star})$$



### Central Bank reaction function

CB minimizes a loss function

$$\min_{r} \boldsymbol{\ell} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_t - \boldsymbol{Y}^\star)^2 + \gamma (\boldsymbol{\pi} - \boldsymbol{\pi}^T)^2$$

CB's desired output gap

$$Y_t - Y^{\star} = -\alpha \gamma (\pi_t - \pi^T)$$

CB choice of interest rate (Monetary policy rule)

$$r_t = r^\star + \psi(\pi_t - \pi^T)$$

with 
$$\psi = rac{1}{a(lpha+rac{1}{lpha\gamma})}$$



### A 3-equations economy

#### IS Curve:

$$Y_t = A - ar_{t-1} \tag{1}$$

Accelerationist PC:

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \alpha(Y_t - Y^\star) \tag{2}$$

Central Bank reaction function:

$$r_t = r^\star + \psi(\pi_t - \pi^T) \tag{3}$$

Equilibrium:

$$y = y^{\star}; \quad u = u^{\star}; \quad r = r^{\star}; \quad \pi = \pi^{T}$$



### Out of equilibrium dynamics

- suppose  $y = y^*$ ,  $r = r^*$  and  $\pi = \pi^T$  initally
- ▶ a positive demand shock occurs, eg  $c_0$  ↑
- 1 Economic boom:

$$y > y^*$$
,  $u < u^*$ ;  $r^* \uparrow$ ;

2 Accelerating inflation:

$$\pi > \pi^T$$
 and rising

3 CB reaction and downturn:

$$r\uparrow;r>r^* \quad \Rightarrow \quad Y\downarrow;Y< Y^*.$$

4 Stabilization:

$$\pi = \pi^T; \quad r = r^*; \quad Y = Y^*$$



#### A short-run equilibrium with output above potential





# Challenges for the simplified New Keynesian model

Five critical and potentially problematic assumptions:

- 1. Monetary policy always effective in increasing output;
- Policy-makers have a good estimate of a well-defined u\* and other key parameters;
- 3. Low unemployment always translates in higher wages & prices;
- The level of potential output is unaffected by changes in demand;
- 5. Low interest rates have no negative side-effects



New-Keynesian IS curve

$$y_t = E_t[y_{t+1}] - \frac{1}{\theta}r_t + u_t^{lS}$$
 with  $\theta > 0$ 



New-Keynesian IS curve

$$y_t = E_t[y_{t+1}] - \frac{1}{\theta}r_t + u_t^{/S}$$
 with  $\theta > 0$ 

New-Keynesian Phillips Curve

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + ky_t + u_t^{\pi}$$
 with  $0 < \beta < 1, k > 0$ 



New-Keynesian IS curve

$$y_t = E_t[y_{t+1}] - \frac{1}{\theta}r_t + u_t^{lS}$$
 with  $\theta > 0$ 

New-Keynesian Phillips Curve

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + ky_t + u_t^{\pi}$$
 with  $0 < \beta < 1, k > 0$ 

#### Monetary policy rule

 $r_t = \phi_{\pi} E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + \phi_y E_t[y_{t+1}] + u_t^{MP}$  with  $\phi_{\pi} > 0, \phi_y \ge 0$ 



New-Keynesian IS curve

$$y_t = E_t[y_{t+1}] - \frac{1}{\theta}r_t + u_t^{/S}$$
 with  $\theta > 0$ 

New-Keynesian Phillips Curve

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + ky_t + u_t^{\pi}$$
 with  $0 < \beta < 1, k > 0$ 

#### Monetary policy rule

 $r_t = \phi_{\pi} E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + \phi_y E_t[y_{t+1}] + u_t^{MP} \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_{\pi} > 0, \quad \phi_y \ge 0$ 

no constants: deviations from steady-state, normalized to 0



NK IS curve: 
$$y_t = E_t[y_{t+1}] - \frac{1}{\theta}r_t + u_t^{/S}$$
 with  $\theta > 0$ 

NK PC: 
$$\pi_t = \beta E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + ky_t + u_t^{\pi}$$
 with  $0 < \beta < 1, k > 0$ 

MP rule:  $r_t = \phi_{\pi} E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + \phi_y E_t[y_{t+1}] + u_t^{MP}$  with  $\phi_{\pi} > 0, \phi_y \ge 0$ 

shocks structure:

$$\begin{split} u_t^{IS} &= \rho_{IS} u_{t-1}^{IS} + e_t^{IS}, & -1 < \rho_{IS} < 1 \\ u_t^{\pi} &= \rho_{\pi} u_{t-1}^{\pi} + e_t^{\pi}, & -1 < \rho_{\pi} < 1 \\ u_t^{MP} &= \rho_{MP} u_{t-1}^{MP} + e_t^{MP}, & -1 < \rho_{MP} < 1 \end{split}$$



# Solving the 3-equations model

Express the model in terms only of shocks and expectations;

plug the MP rule into the IS curve:

$$y_t = -\frac{\phi_{\pi}}{\theta} E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + \left(1 - \frac{\phi_y}{\theta}\right) E_t[y_{t+1}] + u_t^{IS} - \frac{1}{\theta} u_t^{MP}$$

plug the equation above into the NK PC:

$$\pi_t = \left(\beta - \frac{\phi_{\pi}k}{\theta}\right) E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + \left(1 - \frac{\phi_y}{\theta}\right) k E_t[y_{t+1}] + k u_t^{IS} + u_t^{\pi} - \frac{k}{\theta} u_t^{MP}$$



### Special case: no serial correlation in shocks

• Assume 
$$\rho_{IS} = \rho_{\pi} = \rho_{MP} = 0$$
.

So the following is a solution:

$$E_t[y_{t+1}] = E_t[\pi_{t+1}] = 0$$
$$y_t = e_t^{IS} - \frac{1}{\theta} e_t^{MP}$$
$$\pi_t = k e_t^{IS} + e_t^{\pi} - \frac{k}{\theta} e_t^{MP}$$
$$r_t = e_t^{MP}$$



### Special case: no serial correlation in shocks

• Assume 
$$\rho_{IS} = \rho_{\pi} = \rho_{MP} = 0$$
.

So the following is a solution:

$$E_t[y_{t+1}] = E_t[\pi_{t+1}] = 0$$

$$y_t = e_t^{IS} - \frac{1}{\theta} e_t^{MP}$$

$$\pi_t = k e_t^{IS} + e_t^{\pi} - rac{k}{ heta} e_t^{MP}$$

 $r_t = e_t^{MP}$ 

- shows effect of demand, monetary policy and inflation shocks;
- no internal propagation mechanisms: without assuming serial correlation in shocks, we don't get any persistence (just like RBC).



# The general case

- Method of undetermined coefficients;
- Educated guess:

$$y_t = a_{IS}u_t^{IS} + a_{\pi}u_t^{\pi} + a_{MP}u_t^{MP}$$
$$\pi_t = b_{IS}u_t^{IS} + b_{\pi}u_t^{\pi} + b_{MP}u_t^{MP}$$

- ▶ Plug these into the  $y_t$  and  $\pi_t$  functions derived earlier;
- solve the resulting system of equations to get the a's and b's;
- we will skip the algebra and directly discuss implications for the effects of shocks;



### Implications of the general case

- Assumptions:
  - o A period is a quarter;
  - o  $\theta = 1$  in utility function;
  - o  $k = 0.172 \& \beta = 0.99$  in PC;
  - o  $\phi_{\pi} = 0.5$  &  $\phi_{y} = 0.125$  in MP;
  - o  $\rho = 0.5$  for all shocks.
- Effect of MP shock:

o 
$$y_t = -1.54u_t^{MP}$$
;  
o  $\pi_t = -0.53u_t^{MP}$ ;  
o  $r_t = 0.77u_t^{MP}$ 

- Effect of *IS* shock: o  $y_t = 1.54u_t^{IS}$ ; o  $\pi_t = 0.53u_t^{IS}$ ; o  $r_t = 0.23u_t^{IS}$ .
- Effect of  $\pi$  shock: o  $y_t = -0.76u_t^{\pi}$ ; o  $\pi_t = 1.72u_t^{\pi}$ ; o  $r_t = 0.38u_t^{\pi}$ .



# **Application:**

# Monetary policy rules and macroeconomic stability: Evidence and some theory by Clarida, Gali and Gertler (2000)

- Uses the canonical NK model to explain disinflation in the US in the 1980s
- Argues that a change in the conduct of monetary policy explains the stabilization of inflation.
- Available on Keats



 All kinds of extensions in the literature, but this remains the basic model

# Some problems:

- No unemployment (workers are on their supply curve)
- All consumers are forward-looking and unconstrained by liquidity.
- No internal propagation mechanisms (effects of shocks are not persistent except by assumption).
- Implications of the NK PC about effect of anticipated disinflation are wildly unrealistic.
- Forward guidance puzzle: announced temporary interest rate reduction in the distant future has an enormous effect on inflation today (pretty weird)



### DSGE models: optimistic vs pessimistic views

#### The optimistic view:

- DSGE describe reasonably well the behavior of macro aggregates...
- ... and are micro-founded so their parameters are plausibly policy-invariant;
- Extensions are making them more realistic, and technology allows analysis of ever more sophisticated versions (including HANK);
- macroeconomists should all focus on further improving DSGE models.

#### Pessimistic view:

- The baseline model actually produces embarrassing predictions...
- ...and only large ad-hoc modifications just designed to make the models' implications more reasonable attenuate that;
- macroeconomists should seek radically different alternatives (back to old-school Keynesian? agent-based models? no all-encompassing model at all? a type of model that has not been conceived yet?).